

# Investigating *heel*, *erg*, *zeer* with PaQu

Jan Odijk, Tin-dag, Utrecht, 2015-02-07

## (1) Background

- a. AutoSearch: (enrich,) upload & search (expected March 2015, INL)
  - PoS-tags, Corpus Modern Dutch interface
- b. PaQu: upload (, enrich) and search (July 2015, V1 available, RUG)
  - syntactic structures, Groningen Word Relations Search Application, User tests ongoing

## (2) Basic Facts

- a. *Heel erg zeer* are (near-)synonyms meaning 'very'
- b. *Heel* can modify adjectival (A) predicates only
- c. *Erg en zeer* can modify A, verbal (V) and prepositional (P) predicates

## (3) Illustration

- a. Hij is daar heel /erg / zeer blij over
  - b. Hij is daar \*heel / erg /zeer in zijn sas mee
  - c. Dat verbaast mij \*heel / erg / zeer
- (*very* in English is like Dutch *heel* (v. *very much*)

See [Odijk 2011, 2014] for more data and qualifications

## (4) Assessment of the facts

- a. Distinction is purely syntactic
- b. Cannot be derived from semantic differences
- c. No correlation found with other known facts (see (5))
- d. Cannot be derived from general (universal) principles
- e. → must be acquired by L1 learners of Dutch

## (5) Correlation with other differences? Unlikely! Then we must find *{heel}* v. *{erg, zeer}* .

But we only find other oppositions:

- a. Meaning? *{heel, zeer}* v. *{erg}*
- b. Adverbial Inflection? *{heel, erg}* v. *{zeer}*
  - Hele / erge / \*zere grote handen
- c. Comparative / Superlative? *{heel, zeer}* v. *{erg}*
  - \*heler / erger / \*zeerder ziek (dan Piet)
  - \*Het heelst / het ergst / \*het zeerst ziek
- d. Modification by *heel erg zeer*? *{heel, zeer}* v. *{erg}*
  - \*heel heel<sup>1</sup> / \*erg heel / \* zeer heel ziek
  - Heel erg / ?erg erg<sup>1</sup> / zeer erg ziek
  - \*Heel zeer / \*erg zeer / \*zeer zeer<sup>1</sup> ziek
- e. Early use by children? *{heel}* v. *{erg}* v. *{zeer}* [Odijk 2014]
- f. Formality? *{zeer}* (formal) v. *{heel, erg}* (neutral)

---

<sup>1</sup> Well-formed as repeated modifiers

## (6) Research Questions

- How can children acquire the fact that *erg* and *zeer* can modify A, V and P predicates (in L1 acquisition)?
- How can children acquire the fact that *heel* can modify A but canNOT modify V and P predicates (in L1 acquisition)?
- What kind of evidence do children have access to for acquiring such properties?
- Is there a relation with the time of acquisition?
- Is there a role for *indirect negative evidence* (absence of evidence interpreted as evidence for absence)?
- ...

## (7) CHILDES corpora

- Use Dutch CHILDES corpora to investigate this
- Problem: ambiguity of the relevant words
- Dutch CHILDES corpora do NOT have (reliable) pos-tags and no syntactic parses at all
- Done manually for Van Kampen Corpus [Odijk 2014:91]
- PaQu (Parse and Query) automates this

## (8) Ambiguity of *heel*, *erg*, *zeer*

word	Morphosyntax	Syntax	Meaning
<i>heel</i>	A	Mod N	(1) 'whole' (2) 'large'
		Mod A	'very'
	Vf		(1) 'heal' (2) 'receive'
<i>erg</i>	N utrum		'erg'
	N neutrum		'evil'
	A	Mod N, predc	'bad', 'awful'
		Mod A V P	'Very'
<i>zeer</i>	N		'pain'
	A	Mod N, predc	'painful'
		Mod A V P	'very'

## (9) PaQu

- Search for morpho-syntactic information and syntactic dependency relations

- b. Distinction relevant ones v. irrelevant ones can now be made mostly automatically
  - c. <http://zardoz.service.rug.nl:8067/>
- (10) **Small Experiment** (was intended as a user test)
- a. Take all Dutch CHILDES corpora
  - b. Select all adult utterances containing *heel*, *erg* or *zeer*
  - c. Clean the utterances, e.g.
- ja , maar <we be> [//] we bewaren (he)t ook →
  - ja , maar we bewaren het ook
- d. Gather statistics and draw conclusions
- (11) **Accuracy**
- a. Manual annotation of Van Kampen corpus used as gold standard (Acc)
  - b. Alpino makes finer distinctions: I mapped these
  - c. Annotation errors in the gold standard: revised gold standard (Rev Acc)

word	Acc	Rev Acc
heel	0.94	0.95
erg	0.88	0.91
zeer	0.21	0.21

- (12) **Caveats**
- a. It concerns (cleaned) adult speech
  - b. It concerns relatively short sentences, explicitly separated
  - c. It mostly concerns a very local grammatical relation
  - d. Most problematic for *zeer*: *zeer doen*

(13) **Results**

Results	mod A	mod N	Mod V	mod P	predc	other	unclear	Total
heel	886	46	2	2	14	0	2	952
erg	347	27	109	0	187	5	0	675
zeer	7	1	83	0	19	21	7	138

- (14) **Interpretation**
- a. Overwhelming # examples for mod A for *heel*
  - b. Large # examples for mod A and mod V for *erg*
  - c. Very few examples for *zeer* (mod V mostly wrong parses )
  - d. No examples of mod P / mod V for *heel* at all (the 4 are wrong parses)
  - e. PP predicates with *zeer*, *erg*: *op prijs stellen*, *in de smaak vallen* only (analyzed as mod V) – 3 occurrences

(15) **Conclusions**

a. Linguistics

- No examples for mod P: how to explain *heel* v. *erg*, *zeer*?  
Overwhelmingness of mod A for *heel*?
- Are the current Dutch CHILDES corpora representative enough to draw reliable conclusions?

b. PaQu

- PaQu is very useful for doing better and more efficient manual verification of hypotheses
- In some cases its fully automatically generated parses and their statistics can reliably be used directly (though care is required!)

(16) **Future Work**

- a. Similar experiments for the children's speech (cf. [Odijk 2014:34])
- b. Similar experiments for *te* v. *overmatig*; *worden* v. *raken* and others
- c. Extend PaQu to include all relevant 'metadata'
- d. Extend PaQu to natively support common formats such as CHAT, Folia, TEI, ...
- e. Make similar system for GrETEL (<http://nederbooms.ccl.kuleuven.be/eng/gretel>)
- f. Manually verify (parts of) parses for CHILDES corpora (UU AnnCor project)

## References

[Odijk 2011] Odijk, J. , "User Scenario Search", internal CLARIN-NL document, April 13, 2011.

[\[docx\]](#)

[Odijk 2014] Odijk, J. , 'CLARIN: What's in it for Linguists?', Uilendag lecture, Utrecht, Mar 27, 2014. [\[pptx\]](#)